

Generation of sub-MG quasi-stationary magnetic field using cm scale capacitor-coil targets

Deepak Kumar

deepak.kumar@eli-beams.eu



#### Team

ELI Beamlines, Czech Republic	Queen's University, Belfast	IPPLM, Poland	Institute of Plasma Physics, Czech Republic
<ul> <li>Sushil Singh</li> <li>Lorenzo Giuffrida</li> <li>Piotr Lutoslawski</li> <li>Massimo de Marco</li> <li>Deepak Kumar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hamad Ahmed</li> <li>P. Hadjisolomu</li> <li>Thomas Hodge</li> <li>Marco Borghesi</li> <li>Satya Kar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tomas Chodukowski</li> <li>Zofia Kalinowska</li> <li>Tadeusz Pisarczyk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Roman Dudzak</li> <li>Libor Juha</li> <li>Eduard Krousky</li> <li>Miroslav Kruz</li> <li>Mirek Pfeifer</li> <li>Jiri Skala</li> <li>Jiri Ullschmeid</li> <li>Jan Dostal</li> </ul>

Special thanks: V. Tikhonchuk











### Magnetic fields for laser plasma experiments







- Streaming hot electrons from the cathode (back) charge the anode (front)
- Induced voltage difference drives a current through the coil
- Current decays after bulk plasma reaches the anode and short circuits





#### Capacitor coil targets (decoupling time scales)

- Laser pulse duration:  $\tau_L \sim 1~\text{ns}$
- Plasma transition time between electrodes:  $d/c_{s} \sim 1 \mbox{ ns}$
- External circuit resonant time:  $2\pi\sqrt{LC} \sim 0.05$  ns
- Goals of the experiment
  - Avoid short circuiting of the plates to investigate behavior of circuit parameters
  - ≻2-color polarimetry (spatial and temporal evolution)
  - Increase access to the coil (1 mm diameter, ~ 3 cm from focus) to provide insitu measurements of magnetic field



# Experimental layout





# B field calculation from polarimetry



## Target #1: Single foil with coil





# Target #2: Parallel plate capacitor target



# Target #4: Capacitor target with a hole



• Saturated signal corresponds to > 60 T field at 1ns delay



• Lumped circuit analysis

Previous experiments:  $\tau_{res} = 0.05 \text{ ns} \leftrightarrow L_{res} = 1.5 \text{ cm} \leftrightarrow 3 \times target size$ PALS experiment:  $\tau_{res} = 0.4 - 0.8 \text{ ns} \leftrightarrow L_{res} = 12 - 24 \text{ cm} \leftrightarrow 3 - 8 \times target size$ 

- Total charge
  - Electron spectrometer measures 50 x smaller charge. Low energy electrons responsible for most of target current
- Energy balance

 $\gg \int_{vol} B^2/2\mu_0 \sim 0.1 - 0.2\%$ , however  $\int Q^2/2C \sim 50\%$ 

≻How do we account for dissipation?

➤Coil resistance can increase 10 fold (still not enough)

>Under estimating target capacitance by a factor of ~ 100

• Implications of higher capacitance

Lumped circuit model valid

Macroscopic targets should be used for such targets



- At PALS, we were able to generate ~ 20 T fields with 1 mm diameter coils with just a grounded foil.
- Increasing the target capacitance tends to increase the field strengths. We measured  $\sim$  30 T.

>Note: this is without collecting the hot electrons from the plasma.

- Increasing the distance of the focus from the coil does not seem to have any adverse effects.
- For targets with the hole in front plate,  $\sim$  100 T fields seem likely at PALS.
- Macroscopic targets can provide similar performance while still shielding the coil from the plasma



# In-situ magnetic field measurement by fused silica

- Fused silica crystals (500 um x 500 um, 100/300 um thick) placed within the coil
- The crystals introduced a polarization change (~ 2°), and thus were not usable.













